Defendants Have Right to Inspect Illegal Bugging, Justices Rule

The Supreme Court ruled gence techniques to a defend-records to see if they contain resterday that criminal de. and who could pass them along material "arguably relevant" endants, including those ac- to a foreign power. used of espionage, have a ight to inspect records of ille-"bugged" conversations o find out whether the prosey the invasion of their rights y Federal agents.

Nothing short of this full lovernment is not profiting uild its case against the acused, the Court said in a sees of controversial decisions.

The decisions, handed down y a closely divided Court, are ertain to have a profound im- Extortion Case act on the way the Governnent investigates and proseutes its most sensitive "na-onal security" cases as well some of its most celebrated icketeering cases.

btaining Warrants

Its direct impact will be ince the disclosure requirender the 1968 Crime Control victed of bribery in Chicago. let in getting judicial warants making their eavesdrop-

However, even in future naronic surveillance equipment including legally installed pendent, legal methods. quipment-and other intelli-

In these cases the Federal Government would be forced to forego criminal prosecutions while continuing to Bennett Williams, who said ution's case has been twinted use all its intelligence retrial judges will never know sources to keep tabs on suspected foreign agents.

The Court sent back for full nocent-looking isclosure will ensure that the lower court hearings the case was obtained from an illegal of Igor Ivanov, a former listening device. rom its own illegal conduct chauffeur for a Soviet trade y using unlawful wiretaps agency, and John W. Butenko, on several issues. Justice and planted microphones to anyticised in 1983 of conspiring agency, and John W. Butenko, convicted in 1963 of conspiring the Court got the full concurrto spy for Russia. Ivanov is ence only of Chief Justice trying to overturn a 30-year Earl Warren and Justice Wilprison sentence and Butenko liam J. Brennan Jr., with Jusis attacking a 20-year term.

Also sent back for hearings was the case of Willie I. Alderman and Felix (Milwaukee with no exceptions for "na-Phil) Alderisio, two rackets tional security" cases. figures convicted of extorting money from a Las Vegas businessman.

In the wake of yesterday's cavier on past or pending action new hearings are exases rather than future ones, pected to be ordered for such convicted persons as Teamster ent is triggered only by ille-leader James R. Hoffa, who is al electronic surveillance. In serving an 8-year prison term uture cases, Federal agents for jury tampering in Tennesvill not have much trouble see and has also been con-

Neither Hoffa nor any of the other defendants who accuse the Government of illegal eavesdropping is expected ional security cases the Gov- to win release from jail while rnment may be reluctant to the hearings are held. They ring prosecutions against stand to win new trials, sow who have been ever, if the courts find that ugged, since it might have to prosecution evidence was obsclose the existence of electrained from illegal eavesdrop "leads" rather than by inde-

> Solicitor General Erwin N. Griswold argued vigorously against the decision of the Court reached yesterday, saying it would force the Government to drop some of its most serious criminal cases rather than let defense counsel smoke out important evidence-gathering secrets.

> He argued the Justices to rule that trial judges should initially screen eavesdropping

to the defense.

The Court, however, agreed with most of the argument of Washington lawyer Edward enough about a case to detect that a piece of evidence or ininformation

The Court split many ways tice William O. Douglas agreeing with most of the rulings and Justice Potter Stewart joining in the key 5-to-3 deci-

Justice Hugo L. Black, who has argued for three years that eavesdropping is not cov-ered by the Fourth Amend-ment's "search and seizure" provisions, dissented from the entire ruling. Justice Thur-good Marshall, who as Solicitor General made the first disclosures of illegal bugging to the Court, disqualified him-